

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,527	02/19/2004	Chi-Tsung Peng	JIIL07	7162
75	90 03/02/2006		EXAM	INER
J.C. Patents			CARTER, WILLIAM JOSEPH	
Suite 250 4 Venture			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Irvine, CA 920	618		2875	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	10/783,527	PENG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William J. Carter	2875
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDEA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ be drawing(s) be held in abeyant ection is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in a iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		v(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 2, "a connector is installed between tw with pitched illumination decorations for permanent connection" does not seem to express the intentions of the application. The claim will be interpreted to have the meaning of the original claim 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keplinger et al. (5,345,531).

With respect to claim 1, Keplinger teaches a plastic optical fiber bundle (Fig. 5) comprising a plurality of plastic fibers (9, 13), each having an inner core layer and an outer layer (Fig. 5), wherein the outer layer of the plastic optical fiber is formed with a plurality of depressions (33) for producing a light leak effect, the depressions do not extend into the inner core layer of the plastic optical fiber (column 4, line 67-column 5, line 7).

Art Unit: 2875

As for claim 3, Keplinger teaches an illumination structure (21) is installed on each end of the plastic optical fiber bundle (Fig. 5).

As for claim 4, Keplinger teaches a luminary (21) is installed in the illumination structure of the plastic optical fiber bundle (Fig. 5).

As for claims 6 and 9, Keplinger teaches the all of the claimed subject matter from the independent claim in the embodiments shown in Figures 3B and 4, as well as depressions (28 and 31) are dot-shaped (28) and square-shaped (31) depressions.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keplinger in view of Hulse et al. (6,550,952).

With respect to claim 2, Keplinger teaches all of the claimed elements, as disclosed above, except for a connector being installed between two of the illumination devices. Hulse, drawn to illumination, shows two illuminating devices (90, 91) being coupled with a connector (92). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use the connector of Hulse in the illuminating device of Keplinger, in order to illuminate both ends of two connected light pipes (column 6, line 66-column 7, line 2).

Art Unit: 2875

Claims 5, 7, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keplinger.

In regard to claims 5, 7, 8 and 10, it must be borne in mind that where two known alternatives are interchangeable for their desired function, an express suggestion of the desirability of the substitution of one for the other is not needed to render such substitution obvious. See In re Fout, 675 F.2d 297, 301, 213 USPQ 532, 536 (CCPA 1982); In re Siebentritt, 372 F.2d 566, 568, 152 USPQ 618, 619 (CCPA 1967). In this case, the applied prior art establishes that both different orientations and alternatively shaped depressions were known alternatives (column 4, line 67-column 5, line 7). It would have been obvious to use the "+," "-," and star-shaped depressions of the present application as the depressions (33) of Keplinger. Lastly, one skilled in this art would readily appreciate that the addition of alternative orientations and shapes to the design of the depressions improves both the aesthetic value of the depressions and the adaptability of the depressions.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/783,527 Page 5

Art Unit: 2875

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William J. Carter whose telephone number is (571)272-0959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Renee S. Luebke can be reached on (571)272-2009. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wjc 02/17/06

PRIMARY EXAMINER